

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/926,146	11/05/2001	Hisayoshi Ito	213630US3XPCT	2530
22850 7	7590 03/24/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/926,146	ITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addre	9SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rej n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comr NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on £	03/04/04.					
•	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und			nerits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to			4.4044.15			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been i ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National St	tage			
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \] Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	50)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B/08) 5) ☐ Notice of In: 6) ☐ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7 and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have added limitations without providing adequate support. Specifically, the limitations are directed to vertical paddles with no slanted surfaces and paddles with no holes. While applicants state that the drawing disclose such negative limitations, they also state that "unless described otherwise in the specification, the drawings are not believed to represent the exact shape and size of the impeller or the tank." (Page 8 of Remarks). Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. See *Ex parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983), *aff'd mem.*, 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Also, see MPEP 2173.05(I).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,146

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-3, 9, 14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akamine et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,244,741)("Akamine").

Akamine teaches a stirring device having the claimed dimensions. (Col. 4, lines 13-38). The device is broadly used for "mixing, dissolving, dispersing or so on of medium or high viscous fluid or the like, in a chemical industry, a pharmaceutical industry, a food industry and so on." (col. 1, lines 12-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7, 10-15, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grylls *et al.* (U.S. Pat. No. 4,188,407)("Grylls") in view of Akamine.

Grylls teaches the production of active dried yeast whereby yeast is placed in a stirred tank and a rotor blade "that moves around the periphery of the bed forcing the yeast towards the center of the bed. Thus a preferred apparatus comprises a slowly rotating (e.g. 2 to 0 or 100, preferably 10 to 20 rpm) rotor blade that sweeps the periphery of the bed and one or more fast

Application/Control Number: 09/926,146

Art Unit: 1761

rotating blades." (Col. 5, lines 17-30). While the phrase "periphery of the bed" indicates that the blade extends to the walls of the tank, Grylls does not literally teach the dimensions of the rotor blade or that the blades are vertical with no holes.

Akamine teaches that cited above. It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the mixing apparatus of Akamine in the process of Grylls because they would extend to the periphery of the yeast bed and they have a high stirring efficiency, can be easily washed and maintained. (Akamine, col. 2, lines 25-33).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takata et al. (USPN 5,399,014) disclose a mixing apparatus. Taniguchi et al. (USPN 5,160,041) disclose a coagulation reaction tank.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-1406. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,146

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761